

Collin J. Popp, PhD, MS, RD; Emily N. C. Manoogian, PhD; Blandine Laferrère, MD, PhD

Obesity is a serious health issue in the US, with a prevalence of 40% in adults.¹ Calorie restriction through dietary modification remains the foundation of obesity management; however, traditional dietary modification through low-calorie diets is resource-heavy and shows poor long-term adherence, resulting in subsequent weight regain.² Alternative lifestyle interventions include meal timing strategies, which consider the interplay between food intake and circadian biology. Meal timing strategies include time-restricted eating (TRE), meal frequency, and 24-hour caloric distribution. All of these are low-cost and easy-to-adopt approaches that may serve as treatment and prevention of metabolic diseases (eg, type 2 diabetes and hypertension).

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, Liu et al³ aimed to evaluate the existing evidence and determine the long-term (\geq 12 weeks) associations of meal timing strategies with anthropometrics and metabolic outcomes in adults with and without metabolic disease. Study selection included randomized clinical trials (RCTs) that enrolled adults aged 18 years or older, evaluated within-day meal timing patterns for 12 weeks or longer, and reported anthropometric measures. The main outcome was weight change (kilograms), and secondary outcomes included lean and/or fat-free mass, waist circumference, hemoglobin A_{1c} level, fasting glucose level, low-density lipoprotein level, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and energy intake. A total of 29 RCTs were included, and a total of 2430 participants were enrolled, with a median follow-up duration of 12 weeks. The study populations were middle-aged, mostly female (69%), with obesity and a mean body mass index (BMI; calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) of 33. One-third of the studies were conducted in the US, 90% were parallel-group RCTs, and more than one-half of the recruited participants were from outpatient and/or community settings. Interventions that were evaluated included TRE (17 studies [59%], with 10 of them [59%] evaluating an 8-hour eating window), meal frequency (8 studies [28%]), and timing of caloric distribution over the biological day (4 studies [14%]).³

The main findings of the meta-analysis by Liu et al³ suggest meal timing strategies show modest changes in body weight, BMI, lean mass, and waist circumferences for interventions 12 weeks or longer. TRE had a modest effect on relative and absolute weight change (relative mean difference [MD], -1.37 kg; absolute MD, 1.82%), which is in line with previously published meta-analyses.^{4,5} Lower meal frequency was shown to have a slight reduction in body weight and BMI. Caloric distribution of energy intake earlier in the biological day resulted in a greater reduction in body weight, BMI, and waist circumference, which are supported by Young et al,⁶ who found that distributing energy intake earlier in the day, in the context of an energy-reduced diet, results in significantly great weight loss (MD, -1.23 kg).

Liu et al³ also examined the associations of meal timing strategies with metabolic outcomes. However, only TRE interventions resulted in significant outcomes, specifically on hemoglobin A_{1c} , plasma glucose, and low-density lipoprotein levels and energy intake. The evidence was uncertain for the impact of meal frequency and caloric distribution. Overall, the association of meal timing strategies with both anthropometrics and metabolic outcomes was positive, and the authors note the overall quality of the evidence to be low as a result high risk of bias and heterogeneity. There was also significant heterogeneity of protocols, with some studies also incorporating other interventions

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2442140. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.42140

Related article

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

that could impact results, such as exercise, caloric restriction, dietary counseling, protein shakes, and weighing food.

This systematic review and meta-analysis by Liu and colleagues³ highlights key gaps in the literature. More-precise methods for the assessment of diet, adherence to the intervention, body composition, and metabolic outcomes are needed in meal timing and/or TRE interventions. For example, Liu et al³ reported a slightly greater reduction in energy intake in TRE interventions; however, the majority of these interventions collected energy intake using self-reported methods (eg, 24-hour recalls) which are notoriously inaccurate.⁷ Self-reported methods in nutritional science research are a consistent limitation because of reporting bias and methodological inaccuracies. Most of the studies reported by Liu and colleagues³ assessed adherence with self-reporting. Monitoring of food intake in real-time with a smartphone application was done in a few of the referenced TRE studies and none of the meal timing or calorie distribution interventions. Future meal timing interventions may consider using objective measures to examine adherence, such as with continuous glucose monitors or wrist motion with actigraphy.⁸

In conclusion, Liu and colleagues³ support prior meta-analyses that meal timing strategies may be effective for weight management and metabolic outcomes. Although collectively these findings are positive, the overall effects are modest and their clinical application is questionable. Meal timing strategies may also be beneficial when paired with additional lifestyle modifications (eg, caloric restriction and physical activity). It is also important to note that aside from having elevated weight, most participants were otherwise healthy, which makes it unlikely to see any large changes in other health metrics. More research is needed to elucidate the impact of meal timing strategies, especially with meal frequency and caloric distribution, using more precise methods with long-term follow-up.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Published: November 1, 2024. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.42140

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License. © 2024 Popp CJ et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

Corresponding Author: Collin J. Popp, PhD, MS, RD, Department of Population Health, New York University Langone Health, Institute for Excellence in Health Equity, Center for Healthful Behavior Change, Grossman School of Medicine, New York University, 180 Madison Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10016 (collin.popp@nyulangone.org).

Author Affiliations: Department of Population Health, New York University Langone Health, Institute for Excellence in Health Equity, Center for Healthful Behavior Change, Grossman School of Medicine, New York University, New York, New York (Popp); Regulatory Biology Laboratory, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, California (Manoogian); Division of Endocrinology, Nutrition Obesity Research Center, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York (Laferrère).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Popp reported receiving grants from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (K99HL163474) and the National Institute of Nursing Research (R01NR01891) during the conduct of the study and being the CEO of Popp Nutrition, LLC. Dr Manoogian reported receiving grants from the National Institutes of Health (R01DK139356), National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Larry L. Hillblom Foundation, and Wu Tsai Foundation outside the submitted work and being a researcher in the field of chronobiology and time-restricted eating with many collaborators in the field. Dr Laferrère reported receiving grants from the National Institutes of Health outside the submitted work. No other disclosures were reported.

REFERENCES

1. Hales CM, Fryar CD, Carroll MD, Freedman DS, Ogden CL. Trends in obesity and severe obesity prevalence in US youth and adults by sex and age, 2007-2008 to 2015-2016. *JAMA*. 2018;319(16):1723-1725. doi:10.1001/jama. 2018.3060

2. Del Corral P, Bryan DR, Garvey WT, Gower BA, Hunter GR. Dietary adherence during weight loss predicts weight regain. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2011;19(6):1177-1181. doi:10.1038/oby.2010.298

JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2442140. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.42140

JAMA Network Open | Nutrition, Obesity, and Exercise

3. Liu HY, Eso AA, Cook N, O'Neill HM, Albarqouni L. Meal timing and anthropometric and metabolic outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2024;7(11):e2442163. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen. 2024.42163

4. Jin X, Deng Y, Zhang W, Xu X, Rong S. Counting hours or calories? Metabolic regulatory role of time-restricted eating in adults with overweight and obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* Published online July 28, 2024. doi:10.1080/10408398.2024.2382344

5. Liu L, Chen W, Wu D, Hu F. Metabolic efficacy of time-restricted eating in adults: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2022;107(12):3428-3441. doi:10.1210/clinem/ dgac570

6. Young IE, Poobalan A, Steinbeck K, O'Connor HT, Parker HM. Distribution of energy intake across the day and weight loss: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* 2023;24(3):e13537. doi:10.1111/obr.13537

7. Dhurandhar NV, Schoeller D, Brown AW, et al; Energy Balance Measurement Working Group. Energy balance measurement: when something is not better than nothing. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2015;39(7):1109-1113. doi:10.1038/ ijo.2014.199

8. Popp CJ, Wang C, Hoover A, et al. Objective determination of eating occasion timing: combining self-report, wrist motion, and continuous glucose monitoring to detect eating occasions in adults with prediabetes and obesity. J Diabetes Sci Technol. 2024;18(2):266-272. doi:10.1177/19322968231197205

JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2442140. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.42140